UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,308	04/21/2004	Hiroko Mano	R2184.0311/P311	4787	
24998 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 10/08/200 HAPIRO LLP	8	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STR	EET NW	LOVEL, KIMBERLY M			
Washington, Do	C 20000-3403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/828,308	MANO, HIROKO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KIMBERLY LOVEL	2167	

	KINDERLI LOVEL	2107	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett_ appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) blicked to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8, 9, 20 and 21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has bee allowance because:	n considered but does NOT place	the application in cond	dition for
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO/OD/00\ D===== N=/=\		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/58/08) Paper No(s)		
/John R. Cottingham/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 29 September 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Referring to Applicant's argument on page 4 of the Remarks in regards to claims 8, 9, 20 and 21, the applicant states "According to claim 8, a user can 'designate a word other than the retrieval keywords' and 'the word can be highlighted.' Genser fails to disclose or suggest these important aspects of the claimed invention."

The examiner respectfully disagrees. In paragraph [0041], lines 7-8, Genser states "A Not operation may enable the affected item to be deemphasized." If a term is de-emphasized it is considered not to be part of the retrieval keywords. Then in paragraph [0044], Genser states "Emphasis and de-emphasis may be expressed, through manipulation of attributes such as for example size, color, style, highlight, and background presentation." Therefore, given the broadest reasonable interpretation, the combination of Genser and Hussam is considered to meet the requirements of the claim limitation.